arXiv:1609.07247v1 [math.GR] 23 Sep 2016

ON NON-CYCLIC GRAPH OF FINITE GROUPS

EBRAHIM VATANDOOST AND YASSER GOLKHANDYPOUR

Abstract. Here we study some algebraic properties of non-cyclic graphs. In this paper we show that $\overline{\Gamma}_G$ is isomorphic to $K_3 \cup (n-4)K_1$ or $K_4 \cup (n-5)K_1$ if and only if G is isomorphic to D_8 or D_{10}, respectively. We characterize all groups of order n like G in which $\gamma(\Gamma_G)+\gamma(\overline{\Gamma}_G) \in \{n, n-1, n-2, n-3\}$, where $|Cyc(G)| = 1$.

1. Introduction

The study of algebraic structures, using the properties of graphs, becomes an exciting research topic in the last twenty years, leading to many fascinating results and questions. There are many papers on assigning a graph to a ring or group and investigation of algebraic properties of ring or group using the associated graphs.

Before starting let us introduce some necessary notation and definitions. Let $\Gamma = (V, E)$ be a simple graph. A graph Γ is said to be *connected* if each pair of vertices are joined by a walk. The number of edges of the shortest walk joining v and u is called the *distance* between v and u and denoted by $d(u, v)$. The maximum value of the distance function in a connected graph Γ is called the *diameter* of Γ and denoted by $diam(\Gamma)$. The neighbours of a vertex $v \in V(\Gamma)$ is the set of vertices which are adjacent to v in Γ and is denoted by $N_\Gamma(v)$. Also, the closed neighbour of v is denoted by $N_\Gamma[v]$ and is $N_\Gamma(v) \cup \{v\}$. Also the degree of v is denoted by $deg_\Gamma(v)$ which is $|N_\Gamma(v)|$. A universal vertex is a vertex that is adjacent to every other vertices in the graph. The maximum degree of a graph Γ denoted by $\Delta(\Gamma)$, and the minimum degree of a graph Γ denoted by $\delta(\Gamma)$, are the maximum and minimum degree of its vertices.

A *dominating set* for Γ is a subset D of $V(\Gamma)$ such that every vertex not in D is adjacent to at least one vertex of D. The domination number of Γ is the number of vertices in a smallest dominating set for Γ and denoted by $\gamma(\Gamma)$. See [5], [10] and [12] for more details.

Let G be a non-locally cyclic group and let $Z(G)$ denoted the centralizer of

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Graphs and groups, 05c25.

Key words and phrases. Finite group, Acceptable group, Non-cyclic graph, Domination number.

G. If $x \in G$, then $Cyc_G(x)$ denotes the cyclicizer of x in G and defined by

$$Cyc_G(x) = \{y \in G \mid \langle x, y\rangle \ is \ cyclic\}.$$

The cyclicizer of G denoted by $Cyc(G)$ such that

$$Cyc(G) = \{y \in G \mid \langle x, y\rangle \ is \ cyclic \ for \ all \ x \in G\}.$$

It is a simple fact that for any group G, $Cyc(G)$ is a locally cyclic subgroup of G. Also in general for an element x of a group G, $Cyc_G(x)$ is not a subgroup of G. Also for each $x \in G$, $C_G(x)$ is used for the centralizer of x.
In this paper, we study some graph properties of the non-cyclic graph Γ_G of non-locally cyclic group G. Let G be a non-locally cyclic group of order n. The non-cyclic graph of G, denoted by Γ_G, is a graph with vertex set $G \setminus Cyc(G)$ and two vertices x and y are adjacent if and only if $\langle x, y\rangle$ is not cyclic. Also the complement of non-cyclic graph of G is denoted by $\overline{\Gamma}_G$. Note that the degree of a vertex $x \in V(\Gamma_G)$ in the non-cyclic graph Γ_G is equal to $|G \setminus Cyc_G(x)|$. A. Abdollahi and A. Mohammadi Hassanabadi in [1] show that Γ_G is connected and $diam(\Gamma_G) \leq 3$. In addition, they show that $diam(\Gamma_G) = 1$ if and only if G is an elementary Abelian 2-group. Also, in [2] they characterize groups whose non-cyclic graphs have clique numbers at most 4. See [1] and [2] for more details.
Xuang L. Ma and others in [8], consider The cyclic graph of a finite group G. They consider some properties of cyclic graph and characterize certain finite groups whose cyclic graphs have some properties.
In this paper we show that $\overline{\Gamma}_G$ is isomorphic to $K_3 \cup (n-4)K_1$ or $K_4 \cup (n-5)K_1$ if and only if G is isomorphic to D_8 or D_{10}, respectively. Also, we characterize all groups of order n like G in which $\gamma(\Gamma_G) + \gamma(\overline{\Gamma}_G) \in \{n, n-1, n-2, n-3\}$, where $|Cyc(G)| = 1$. In addition, we prove that $\gamma(\overline{\Gamma}_G) = \frac{n-1}{2}$ if and only if $\overline{\Gamma}_G$ is the union of $\frac{n-1}{2}$ copies of P_2.

2. On non-cyclic graph

First we consider some algebraic properties of non-cyclic graph and give some facts that are needed in the next sections. In this paper, we denoted by A_n and D_{2n}, alternating group of order n and dihedral group of order $2n$, respectively.

Theorem 1. *[1] Let G be a non-locally cyclic group. Then Γ_G is connected and $diam(\Gamma_G) \leq 3$.*

Theorem 2. *[1] Let G be a non-locally cyclic group. Then $diam(\Gamma_G) = 1$ if and only if G is an elementary Abelian 2-group.*

Lemma 1. *Let G be a non-locally cyclic group and $|Cyc(G)| > 1$. If $[G : Cyc(G)] = t$, then $t \geq 3$. Furthermore if $|Cyc(G)| \geq 3$, then $\delta(\overline{\Gamma}_G) \geq 2$.*

Proof. Let $t = 2$. Then there is $g \in G \setminus Cyc(G)$ such that $G = Cyc(G) \cup gCyc(G)$. So $V(\Gamma_G) = gCyc(G)$. Also for each $g_1, g_2 \in Cyc(G)$, $\langle gg_1, gg_2\rangle \leq \langle g, g_1, g_2\rangle$ which is a cyclic subgroup of G. Hence Γ_G is empty which contradicts the fact that Γ_G is a connected graph. Therefore $t \geq 3$. If $\ell = |Cyc(G)| \geq 3$, then for each $x \notin Cyc(G)$, induced subgraph on $xCyc(G)$ is isomorphic to K_ℓ in $\overline{\Gamma}_G$. □

Lemma 2. *Let G be a non-locally cyclic group of order n and $|V(\Gamma_G)| = k$. Then $k < n < 2k$.*

Proof. Let $|V(\Gamma_G)| = k$. Then $n - |Cyc(G)| = k$. By Lemma 1, $|Cyc(G)| < \frac{n}{2}$. Hence $k < n < 2k$. □

Observation 1. *Let G be a non-locally cyclic group and $x \in V(\Gamma_G)$. Then $x^{-1} \in V(\Gamma_G)$ and $N_{\Gamma_G}(x) = N_{\Gamma_G}(x^{-1})$.*

Lemma 3. *Let G be a non-locally cyclic group. Then $\delta(\Gamma_G) \geq 2$.*

Proof. Let $x, y \in V(\Gamma_G)$, x be adjacent to y in Γ_G and $deg_{\Gamma_G}(x) = 1$. We show that $O(y) = 2$. On the contrary, let $O(y) \neq 2$. Then $y^{-1} \in V(\Gamma_G)$. Since $\langle x, y\rangle$ is not cyclic, $\langle x, y^{-1}\rangle$ is not cyclic, too. So x and y^{-1} are adjacent in Γ_G, which contradicts the fact that $deg_{\Gamma_G}(x) = 1$. Hence $O(y) = 2$.
Since $Cyc(x) \subseteq C_G(x)$ and $|Cyc(x)| > |G|/2$, $C_G(x) = G$ and so $x \in Z(G)$. Also, since $\langle x, y\rangle$ is not a cyclic group, $O(x)$ is even and so $|G|$ is even.
Assume that $g \notin Cyc(G) \cup \langle x\rangle \cup \{y\}$ in that $O(x) = t$ and $O(g) = \ell$. Since $\langle x, g\rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_t \oplus \mathbb{Z}_\ell$ and $\langle x, g\rangle$ is cyclic, $gcd(t, \ell) = 1$ and so ℓ is odd. Thus $G \setminus Cyc(G)$ has exactly two elements of order two which are y and $x^{t/2}$. It is clear that $O(x^{t/2}y) = 2$. Hence $x^{t/2}y = x^{t/2}$ or $x^{t/2}y = y$ and so $y = e$ or $x^{t/2} = e$, respectively, which is a contradiction. Therefore $\delta(\Gamma_G) \geq 2$. □

Lemma 4. *Let G be a non-locally cyclic group and $x, y \in V(\Gamma_G)$ such that $O(x) = O(y) = 2$. Then x and y are adjacent in Γ_G.*

Proof. If x and y are not adjacent in Γ_G, then $\langle x, y\rangle$ is a cyclic subgroup of order four of G, which is wrong. □

Lemma 5. *Let G be a non-locally cyclic group and $x \in V(\Gamma_G)$. If x is a universal vertex in Γ_G, then $O(x) = 2$.*

Proof. On the contrary let $O(x) \neq 2$. Then $x^{-1} \in V(\Gamma_G)$. Since $\langle x, x^{-1}\rangle = \langle x\rangle$ is a cyclic subgroup of G, x is not adjacent to x^{-1} in Γ_G which contradicts the fact that x is a universal vertex in Γ_G. □

Lemma 6. *Let G be a non-locally cyclic group. Then in $\overline{\Gamma}_G$, the vertices of degree 1 occur only at the edges.*

Proof. Let $x \in V(\Gamma_G)$, $deg_{\overline{\Gamma}_G}(x) = 1$ and x is adjacent to y in $\overline{\Gamma}_G$. We claim that $O(x) \in \{3, 4\}$. For proof, let $O(x) = 2$. Then by Lemma 4, $O(y) \neq 2$. Since $\langle x, y\rangle$ is cyclic, $\langle x, y^{-1}\rangle$ is cyclic subgroup of G, too. Hence y^{-1} is adjacent to x in $\overline{\Gamma}_G$, which contradicts the fact that $deg_{\overline{\Gamma}_G}(x) = 1$. Hence $O(x) \neq 2$.
Obviously, $x^{-1} \notin Cyc(G)$. If $O(x) \geq 5$ and $x^2, x^3 \in Cyc(G)$, then $x \in Cyc(G)$, which is false. Thus x^2 or x^3 are not in $Cyc(G)$ and so $deg_{\overline{\Gamma}_G}(x) \geq 2$, which is a contradiction. Hence $O(x) = 3$ or 4. If $O(x) = 4$, then $x^2 \in Cyc(G)$ and so $|Cyc(G)| > 1$. In any way, $y = x^{-1}$. By observation 1, $deg_{\overline{\Gamma}_G}(y) = 1$. This completed the proof. □

Lemma 7. *Let G be a non-locally cyclic Abelian group. Then $\overline{\Gamma}_G$ does not have both an isolated vertex and a vertex of degree 1.*

Proof. Let x and y be an isolated vertex and a vertex of degree one in $\overline{\Gamma}_G$, respectively. By Lemmas 5 and 6, $O(x) = 2$ and $O(y) = 3$ or 4. If $O(y) = 3$, then since G is an Abelian group, $\langle x, y\rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_6$. Hence x is adjacent to y in $\overline{\Gamma}_G$, which contradicts the fact that x is an isolated vertex in $\overline{\Gamma}_G$. If $O(y) = 4$, then by Lemma 6, $y^2 \in Cyc(G)$. Thus $\langle y^2, x\rangle$ is cyclic. This is contradiction. □

Theorem 3. *Let G be a non-locally cyclic group of order n and $|Cyc(G)| = 1$. Then $\overline{\Gamma}_G \cong K_3 \cup (n-4)K_1$ if and only if $n = 8$ and $G \cong D_8$.*

Proof. If $n = 8$ and $G \cong D_8$, then $\overline{\Gamma}_G \cong K_3 \cup 4K_1$. For proof of converse, let $\overline{\Gamma}_G \cong K_3 \cup (n-4)K_1$. Also let $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{n-4}$ be isolated vertices in $\overline{\Gamma}_G$ and $a, b, c \in V(\overline{\Gamma}_G)$ such that induced subgraph on a, b and c be K_3. By Lemma 5, $O(x_i) = 2$. It is easy to see that for each $g \in G$, $O(g) \leq 4$ and $ab = ba$, $ac = ca$ and $bc = cb$. We have the following two cases.
Case i. Let $3 \mid n$ and $O(a) = 3$. Without loss of generality, suppose $b = a^{-1}$ and $O(c) = 2$. So $O(ac) = 6$ which contradicts the fact that for each $g \in G$, $O(g) \leq 4$.
Case ii. Let $3 \nmid n$. By Theorem 2, there exist $v \in \{a, b, c\}$ such that $O(v) = 4$. Without loss of generality, suppose $O(a) = 4$, $b = a^{-1}$ and $c = a^2$. Since $O(x_iax_i) = O(a)$, $x_iax_i = a$ or $x_iax_i = a^{-1}$. If $x_iax_i = a$, then $x_ia = ax_i$ and $(x_ia)^2 = e$. So $e = x_i^2a^2 = a^2$, which is a contradiction. Thus $x_iax_i = a^{-1}$ and so $\langle a, x_i\rangle \cong D_8$.
We claim that $G \cong \langle a, x_1\rangle = \{e, a, a^2, a^3, x_1, x_1a, x_1a^2, x_1a^3\}$. For proof of our claim, let $x_2 \in G \setminus \langle a, x_1\rangle$. Since $x_2a \notin \{e, a, a^2, a^3\}$, $O(x_2a) = 2$. Thus $x_2ax_2 = a^{-1}$. On the other hand, $x_1ax_1 = a^{-1}$. So $x_1ax_1 = x_2ax_2$. Consequently, $(x_1x_2)a = a(x_1x_2)$ and so $x_1x_2 \in C_G(a)$. Since $C_G(a) = \langle a\rangle$, $x_2 \in \{x_1, x_1a, x_1a^2, x_1a^3\}$. Hence $x_2 \in \langle a, x_1\rangle$, which is false. Therefore $G \cong \langle a, x_1\rangle$, as claimed. □

Theorem 4. *Let G be a non-locally cyclic group of order n and $|Cyc(G)| = 1$. Then $\overline{\Gamma}_G \cong K_4 \cup (n-5)K_1$ if and only if $n = 10$ and $G \cong D_{10}$.*

Proof. If $n = 10$ and $G \cong D_{10}$, then $\overline{\Gamma}_G \cong K_4 \cup 5K_1$. For proof of converse, let $\overline{\Gamma}_G \cong K_4 \cup (n-5)K_1$ and $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{n-5}$ be isolated vertices in $\overline{\Gamma}_G$ and $a, b, c, d \in V(\overline{\Gamma}_G)$ such that induced subgraph on a, b, c and d be K_4. By Lemma 5, $O(x_i) = 2$. It is easy to see that for each $g \in G$, $O(g) \leq 5$.
By Theorem 2, there exist $t \in \{a, b, c, d\}$ such that $O(t) \neq 2$. Furthermore, by Lemma 4, for every $x \in \{a, b, c, d\}$, $O(x) \neq 4$. We consider the following cases.
Case i. Let $u, v \in \{a, b, c, d\}$ such that $O(u) = 2$ and $O(v) = 3$. Then since $uv = vu$, $O(uv) = 6$ which contradicts the fact that for each $g \in G$, $O(g) \leq 5$.
Case ii. Let for each $u \in \{a, b, c, d\}$, $O(u) = 3$. Without loss of generality, let $c = a^{-1}$ and $d = b^{-1}$. Then $\langle a, b\rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_3 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_3$. Since a is adjacent to b in $\overline{\Gamma}_G$, $\langle a, b\rangle$ is a cyclic subgroup of G, which is false.
Case iii. If $O(a) = 5$, then $b = a^2$, $c = a^3$ and $d = a^4$. Since $O(x_i a x_i) = O(a)$, $x_i a x_i \in \{a, a^2, a^3, a^4\}$. If $x_i a x_i \in \{a, a^2, a^3\}$, then $(ax_i)^2 \in \{a^2, a^3, a^4\}$. On the other hand, $O(ax_i) = 2$ and so $e \in \{a^2, a^3, a^4\}$ which is false. Hence $ax_i = x_i a^4$ and so $\langle a, x_i\rangle \cong D_{10}$.
By the similar argument as in proof of Theorem 3, it can be proven that $G \cong \langle a, x_1\rangle$. Therefore G is isomorphic to D_{10}. □

Theorem 5. *Let G be a non-locally cyclic group. Then $\Gamma_G \cong C_n$ if and only if $n = 3$ and $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$.*

Proof. If $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$, then Γ_G is isomorphic to C_3. In the following we consider the proof of converse.
It is clear that for every $x \in G \setminus \{e\}$, $|C_G(x)| \geq |G| - 2$. Then $C_G(x) = G$ and so G is an abelian group. On the other hand, by Theorem 1, $n \leq 7$. If $n = 4$ and Γ_G is isomorphic to C_4, then by Lemma 2, $4 \leq |G| < 8$. Since G is an abelian group, G is cyclic, which is not true.
Suppose that $5 \leq n \leq 7$. By Lemma 4, suppose that $x \in V(\Gamma_G)$ such that $O(x) \neq 2$. Then $x^{-1} \notin N_{\Gamma_G}(x)$. By Observation 1, $N_{\Gamma_G}(x) = N_{\Gamma_G}(x^{-1})$, which is a contradiction. □

Lemma 8. *Let G be a non-locally cyclic group and $x, y \in V(\Gamma_G)$ such that x and y are adjacent in $\overline{\Gamma}_G$. Then $|N_{\overline{\Gamma}_G}(x) \cap N_{\overline{\Gamma}_G}(y)| \geq 1$. Furthermore, $\overline{\Gamma}_G$ does not have C_i as a component, where $i \geq 4$.*

Proof. By Lemma 4, at least $O(x) \neq 2$ or $O(y) \neq 2$. Without loss of generality, let $O(x) \neq 2$. Since $\langle x, y\rangle$ is cyclic group, $\langle x^{-1}, y\rangle$ is cyclic, too. Hence $x^{-1} \in N_{\overline{\Gamma}_G}(x) \cap N_{\overline{\Gamma}_G}(y)$ and so $|N_{\overline{\Gamma}_G}(x) \cap N_{\overline{\Gamma}_G}(y)| \geq 1$. Now it is clear that $\overline{\Gamma}_G$ does not have C_i as a component. □

3. On acceptable groups

In this section, we consider Algebraic structures of non-cyclic graph on acceptable groups and show if G is an acceptable group, then $\overline{\Gamma}_G$ is constructed by both copies of P_2 and isolated vertices.

Definition 1. Let G be a group. Write S and T for the set of elements of G of order two and three, respectively. Then G is *acceptable* when neither S nor T is empty and $G = S^* \cup T$, where $S^* = S \cup \{e\}$.

Lemma 9. *[3] If G is acceptable, then either $S^* \leq G$ or $T^* \leq G$ (" $\leq$ " means "is a subgroup of").*

Theorem 6. *Let G be a non-locally cyclic group. If G is an acceptable group, then $\overline{\Gamma}_G$ is isomorphic to some copies of P_2 together with isolated vertices.*

Proof. Let G be an acceptable group. Write S(*or* T) for the set of elements of G of order two(or three). Also let $x, y \in S$ and $z, t \in T$, where $z \neq t^{-1}$. If $\langle x, z\rangle$ is a cyclic subgroup of G, then $O(xz) = 6$ which contradicts the fact that G is an acceptable group. Also it is clear that $\langle x, y\rangle$ and $\langle z, t\rangle$ are not cyclic. Hence for each $u \in S$ and $v \in T$, $Cyc_G(u) = \{e, u\}$ and $Cyc_G(v) = \{e, v, v^{-1}\}$. Therefore $|Cyc(G)| = 1$ and $\overline{\Gamma}_G$ is isomorphic to some copies of P_2 together with isolated vertices. □

4. On domination number of non-cyclic graph

In this section, domination number on some non-cyclic graphs is considered.

Theorem 7. *[10] Let Γ be a graph with no isolated vertex. Then $\gamma(\Gamma) \leq \frac{n}{2}$.*

Theorem 8. *[4] Let Γ be a graph without isolated vertices on n vertices such that n is even. Then $\gamma(\Gamma) = \frac{n}{2}$ if and only if the components of Γ are C_4 or $H \circ K_1$ where H is a connected graph.*

Theorem 9. *[7] Let Γ be a graph on n vertices. Then*

i) $\gamma(\Gamma) + \gamma(\overline{\Gamma}) \leq n + 1$.
ii) $\gamma(\Gamma)\gamma(\overline{\Gamma}) \leq n$.

Lemma 10. *[6] Let Γ be a graph on n vertices. Then $\gamma(\Gamma) = 1$ if and only if $\Delta(\Gamma) = n - 1$.*

Theorem 10. *Let G be a non-locally cyclic group of order n, where n and $|Cyc(G)| = t$ are odd. Then $\gamma(\overline{\Gamma}_G) = \frac{n-t}{2}$ if and only if $t = 1$ and $\overline{\Gamma}_G$ is isomorphic to $\frac{n-1}{2}$ copies of P_2.*

Proof. Let $\gamma(\overline{\Gamma}_G) = \frac{n-t}{2}$. If $t > 1$, then since t is odd, $t > 2$. By Lemma 1, $\delta(\overline{\Gamma}_G) \geq 2$. Also by Theorem 8, the components of $\overline{\Gamma}_G$ are C_4 or $H \circ K_1$ where H is a connected graph. Hence the components of $\overline{\Gamma}_G$ are C_4 which contradicts Lemma 8. So $t = 1$.
Now let $\gamma(\overline{\Gamma}_G) = \frac{n-1}{2}$. Since n is odd, by Lemma 5, $\overline{\Gamma}_G$ does not have isolated vertex. By Theorem 8, the components of $\overline{\Gamma}_G$ are isomorphic to C_4 or $H \circ K_1$. By Lemma 8, $\overline{\Gamma}_G$ does not have C_4 as a component and so the components of $\overline{\Gamma}_G$ are isomorphic to $H \circ K_1$. By Lemma 6, $\overline{\Gamma}_G$ is isomorphic to $\frac{n-1}{2}$ copies of P_2. The proof of converse is easy. □

Theorem 11. *Let G be a non-locally cyclic group of order n, $|Cyc(G)| = 1$. Then $\gamma(\Gamma_G) < \frac{n-1}{2}$.*

Proof. Since Γ_G is a connected graph, $\gamma(\Gamma_G) \leq \frac{n-1}{2}$. If $\gamma(\Gamma_G) = \frac{n-1}{2}$, then n is odd. By Theorem 8, the components of Γ_G are isomorphic to C_4 or $H \circ K_1$ where H is a connected graph. By Lemma 3, components of Γ_G are of type C_4. Since Γ_G is a connected graph, $\Gamma_G \cong C_4$. By Theorem 5, Γ_G does not have C_4 as a component, which is a contradiction. Therefore $\gamma(\Gamma_G) < \frac{n-1}{2}$. □

Theorem 12. *Let G be a non-locally cyclic group of order n and $|Cyc(G)| = 1$. Then*

i) $\gamma(\Gamma_G) + \gamma(\overline{\Gamma}_G) = n$ *if and only if G is an elementary Abelian 2-group.*
ii) $\gamma(\Gamma_G) + \gamma(\overline{\Gamma}_G) = n - 1$ *if and only if $G \cong S_3$.*
iii) $\gamma(\Gamma_G) + \gamma(\overline{\Gamma}_G) = n - 2$ *if and only if $G \cong D_8$.*
iv) $\gamma(\Gamma_G) + \gamma(\overline{\Gamma}_G) = n - 3$ *if and only if either $G \cong D_{10}$ or $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_3 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_3$.*

Proof. **i)** Let $\gamma(\Gamma_G) + \gamma(\overline{\Gamma}_G) = n$. By Theorem 11, $\gamma(\Gamma_G) < \frac{n-1}{2}$. So $\gamma(\overline{\Gamma}_G) > \frac{n-1}{2}$ and so $\overline{\Gamma}_G$ has at least one isolated vertex. Thus $\gamma(\Gamma_G) = 1$ and $\gamma(\overline{\Gamma}_G) = n - 1$. Hence Γ_G is isomorphic to a complete graph. By Theorem 2, G is an elementary Abelian 2-group. Conversely, let G be an elementary Abelian 2-group. By Theorem 2, Γ_G is a complete graph. So $\gamma(\Gamma_G) = 1$ and $\gamma(\overline{\Gamma}_G) = n - 1$. Thus $\gamma(\Gamma_G) + \gamma(\overline{\Gamma}_G) = n$.
ii) Let $\gamma(\Gamma_G) + \gamma(\overline{\Gamma}_G) = n - 1$. By Theorem 11, $\gamma(\Gamma_G) < \frac{n-1}{2}$. So $\gamma(\overline{\Gamma}_G) > \frac{n-1}{2}$. Hence $\overline{\Gamma}_G$ has at least one isolated vertex. Thus $\gamma(\Gamma_G) = 1$ and so $\gamma(\overline{\Gamma}_G) = n - 2$. Hence $\overline{\Gamma}_G$ is a union of one copy of P_2 and isolated vertices denoted by $\{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{n-3}\}$. By Lemma 6, G has exactly two elements of order 3 that are denoted by a and b such that $a = b^{-1}$. Obviously, $O(x_i a x_i) = O(a)$ and so $x_i a x_i = a$ or a^{-1}. If $x_i a x_i = a$, then $x_i a = a x_i$ and $(x_i a)^2 = e$. On the other hand, $(x_i a)^2 = x_i^2 a^2 = a^2$. So $a^2 = e$, which is a contradiction. Hence $x_i a x_i = a^{-1}$ and so $\langle a, x_i \rangle \cong S_3$. By the similar argument as in proof of Theorem 3, it can be proven that $G = \langle a, x_1 \rangle \cong S_3$. Conversely, $\gamma(\Gamma_{S_3}) = 1$ and $\gamma(\overline{\Gamma}_{S_3}) = 4$. So the result is achieved.
iii) Let $\gamma(\Gamma_G) + \gamma(\overline{\Gamma}_G) = n - 2$. By Theorem 11, $\gamma(\Gamma_G) < \frac{n-1}{2}$. The following

two cases will be considered.
Case i. If $\gamma(\Gamma_G) = \frac{n-3}{2}$, then $\gamma(\overline{\Gamma}_G) = \frac{n-1}{2}$. By Theorem 10, $\overline{\Gamma}_G$ is $\frac{n-1}{2}$ copies of P_2 and so Γ_G is a complete multipartite graph. Hence $\gamma(\Gamma_G) = 2$. It follows that $n = 7$, which is impossible.
Case ii. If $\gamma(\Gamma_G) < \frac{n-3}{2}$, then $\gamma(\overline{\Gamma}_G) > \frac{n-1}{2}$ and so $\overline{\Gamma}_G$ has at least one isolated vertex. Thus $\gamma(\Gamma_G) = 1$ and $\gamma(\overline{\Gamma}_G) = n - 3$. Let $V(\overline{\Gamma}_G) = A \cup B$ in that $A = \{a, b, c, d\}$ and $B = \{x_1 \dots x_{n-5}\}$. We have induced subgraph on B is isomorphic to $\overline{K}_{n-5}$. By Lemmas 6 and 8 and $\gamma(\overline{\Gamma}_G) = n - 3$, induced subgraph on A is isomorphic with two copies of P_2 or a union of K_3 and an isolated vertex.
Suppose that induced subgraph on A is isomorphic with two copies of P_2. By Lemma 5, B is a set of elements of order two. Also, by proof of Lemma 6, A is a set of elements of order 3.

Hence G is an acceptable group and so by Lemma 9, $A \cup \{e\} \leq G$ or $B \cup \{e\} \leq G$. If $A \cup \{e\} \leq G$, then since $|A \cup \{e\}| = 5$, it follows that $3 \mid 5$ which is not true. Thus $B \cup \{e\} \leq G$. Since $|B \cup \{e\}| \leq |G|/2$, we have $n \leq 8$. But there is no group of order less than or equal to 8 such that has four elements of order 3, which is a contradiction.
Hence $\overline{\Gamma}_G$ is isomorphic to a union of isolated vertices denoted by $x_1, \dots, x_{n-4}$ and three vertices $\{a, b, c\}$ such that induced subgraph on $\{a, b, c\}$ is isomorphic to K_3. By Theorem 3, $G \cong D_8$. Conversely, $\gamma(\Gamma_{D_8}) = 1$ and $\gamma(\overline{\Gamma}_{D_8}) = 5$.
iv) Let $\gamma(\Gamma_G) + \gamma(\overline{\Gamma}_G) = n - 3$. By Theorem 11, $\gamma(\Gamma_G) < \frac{n-1}{2}$. The following three cases will be considered.
Case i. If $\gamma(\Gamma_G) = \frac{n-3}{2}$, then $\gamma(\overline{\Gamma}_G) = \frac{n-3}{2}$. By Theorem 9, $\gamma(\Gamma_G)\gamma(\overline{\Gamma}_G) \leq n$. Hence $(\frac{n-3}{2})^2 \leq n$ and so $n^2 - 10n + 9 \leq 0$. It follows that $1 \leq n \leq 9$. Since $\gamma(\Gamma_G) = \frac{n-3}{2}$, $n = 9$. So $\gamma(\Gamma_G) = \frac{9-3}{2} = 3$. On the other hand, $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_3 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_3$ and so $\gamma(\Gamma_G) = 2$, which is a contradiction.
Case ii. If $\gamma(\Gamma_G) = \frac{n-5}{2}$, then $\gamma(\overline{\Gamma}_G) = \frac{n-1}{2}$. By Theorem 10, $\overline{\Gamma}_G$ is $\frac{n-1}{2}$ copies of P_2 and so Γ_G is complete multipartite graph. Hence $\gamma(\Gamma_G) = 2$. It follows that $n = 9$ and so $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_3 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_3$.
Case iii. Let $\gamma(\Gamma_G) < \frac{n-5}{2}$. Then $\gamma(\overline{\Gamma}_G) > \frac{n-1}{2}$ and so $\overline{\Gamma}_G$ has at least one isolated vertex. Thus $\gamma(\Gamma_G) = 1$ and $\gamma(\overline{\Gamma}_G) = n - 4$.
Assume that $u \in V(\overline{\Gamma}_G)$. If $deg(u) > 3$, then $\gamma(\overline{\Gamma}_G) < n - 4$, which is a contradiction. Hence for each $u \in V(\overline{\Gamma}_G)$, $deg_{\overline{\Gamma}_G}(u) \leq 3$ and so $O(u) \leq 5$. We consider the following subcases.
A. For each $u \in V(\overline{\Gamma}_G)$, $deg_{\overline{\Gamma}_G}(u) \leq 1$.
In this case, $\overline{\Gamma}_G$ is isomorphic to a union of three copies of P_2 and $n-7$ isolated vertices. By Lemmas 5 and 6, G is a group which is constructed from set of elements of order three and set of elements of order two that are defined by T and S, respectively. Hence G is an acceptable group and so by Lemma 9,

$T \cup \{e\} \leq G$ or $S \cup \{e\} \leq G$. Since $3 \nmid |T \cup \{e\}| = 7$, $T \cup \{e\} \nleq G$. Hence $S \cup \{e\} \leq G$. Since $|S \cup \{e\}| \leq |G|/2$, we have $n \leq 12$.
Since there is no group with $n \leq 11$ which have exactly six elements of order 3, $n = 12$. Then $G \cong A_4, D_{12}$ or $L = \langle a, b \ ; \ a^6 = 1, a^3 = b^2, b^{-1}ab = a^{-1} \rangle$. Since G has exactly six elements of order 3, $G \notin \{A_4, D_{12}\}$. On the other hand, in L, $O(b) = 4$, which contradicts the fact that G is an acceptable group.
B. For each $u \in V(\overline{\Gamma}_G)$, $deg_{\overline{\Gamma}_G}(u) \leq 2$.
Assume that $u, v \in V(\overline{\Gamma}_G)$ such that $deg_{\overline{\Gamma}_G}(u) = deg_{\overline{\Gamma}_G}(v) = 2$. If u and v are not adjacent in $\overline{\Gamma}_G$, then $\gamma(\overline{\Gamma}_G) < n - 4$, which is wrong. Also, if u and v are adjacent in $\overline{\Gamma}_G$, then by Lemmas 6 and 8, $u, v \in V(K_3)$. So $\overline{\Gamma}_G$ is isomorphic to a union of some copies of K_3, P_2 and isolated vertices. If $\overline{\Gamma}_G$ have more than one copy of K_3 as a component, then $\gamma(\overline{\Gamma}_G) \leq n - 5$, which is a contradiction. Since $\gamma(\overline{\Gamma}_G) = n-4$, $\overline{\Gamma}_G$ is isomorphic to a union of one copy of K_3, one copy of P_2 and isolated vertices. Suppose that $V(K_3) = \{u_1, u_2, u_3\}$, $V(P_2) = \{v_1, v_2\}$ and x_i is isolated vertex, for $1 \leq i \leq n - 6$. By Lemma 4, we can assume that $O(u_1) \neq 2$. If $O(u_1) = 3$ and $u_2 = u_1^{-1}$, then $O(u_3) = 2$. Since $\langle u_1, u_3 \rangle$ is cyclic, there exist an element of order six in G which is a contradiction. Hence $O(u_1) = 4$ and so $u_2 = u_1^2$ and $u_3 = u_1^3$. On the other hand, by Lemma 3, $O(v_1) = O(v_2) = 3$ and by Lemma 5, $O(x_i) = 2$. Therefore $n = 2^\ell \times 3$.
By Sylow theorem, there exist $H, K \leq G$ such that $|H| = 2^\ell$ and $|K| = 3$. Also $K = \{e, v_1, v_1^{-1}\}$ and $Z(H) \neq 1$. Obviously, for each $h \in G \setminus \{u_1, u_3\}$, $O(hv_1h) = O(v_1) = 3$. Thus $hv_1h = v_1$ or v_1^{-1}. If $hv_1h = v_1$, then $v_1h = hv_1$ and so $O(v_1h) = 6$, which is a contradiction. So

$$hv_1h = v_1^{-1} \ or \ v_1h = hv_1^{-1} \ or \ hv_1 = v_1^{-1}h. \tag{4.1}$$

Assume that $z \in Z(H)$ and $O(z) = 2$. Also let $h \in G \setminus \{u_1, u_3, z\}$. By equalities 4.1, we have

$$(zh)v_1 = (hz)v_1 = h(zv_1) = h(v_1^{-1}z) = (hv_1^{-1})z = (v_1h)z = v_1(zh).$$

Hence $zh \in C(v_1)$. On the other hand, $O(zh) = 2$ and $C(v_1) = \{e, v_1, v_1^{-1}\}$. So $zh \in \{v_1, v_1^{-1}\}$, which is a contradiction.
C. There exist $u \in V(\overline{\Gamma}_G)$ such that $deg_{\overline{\Gamma}_G}(u) = 3$ and $N_{\overline{\Gamma}_G}(u) = \{x, y, z\}$.
C.1. If $O(u) = 2$, then by Lemma 4, for each $v \in N(u)$, $O(v) = 3$ or 4. If $O(v) = 3$, then $\langle u, v \rangle$ has an element of order six which is false. Thus $O(x) = 4$. If $x^{-1} \notin N(u)$, then $\langle x^{-1}, u \rangle$ is cyclic and so u and x^{-1} are adjacent in $\overline{\Gamma}_G$ which is wrong. Hence $x^{-1} \in N(u)$. Without loss of generality, let $y = x^{-1}$. Then $z^{-1} = z$ and so $O(z) = 2$, which contradicts Lemma 4.
C.2. Assume that $O(u) = 3$ and without loss of generality, let $x = u^{-1}$. By Observation 1, $N_{\overline{\Gamma}_G}(x) = N_{\overline{\Gamma}_G}(u)$. We claim that $z = y^{-1}$. For proof let $z \neq y^{-1}$. Since $\langle u, y^{-1} \rangle$ is cyclic, u is adjacent to y^{-1} in $\overline{\Gamma}_G$, which is false. Hence $z = y^{-1}$, as claimed and so y is adjacent to z in $\overline{\Gamma}_G$. Therefore

induced subgraph on $N_{\overline{\Gamma}_G}[u]$ is isomorphic to K_4. So $\overline{\Gamma}_G \cong K_4 \cup (n-5)K_1$. By Theorem 4, $G \cong D_{10}$.

C.3. Assume that $O(u) = 4$ and without loss of generality, let $x = u^2$ and $y = u^3$. We have

$$\langle u^2, z\rangle \leq \langle u, z\rangle.$$

Since $\langle u, z\rangle$ is cyclic, $\langle u^2, z\rangle$ is cyclic, too. If $O(z) = 2$, then $\langle u^2, z\rangle$ is Klein four group, which is wrong. Also if $O(z) = 3$, then $\langle u^2, z\rangle$ has an element of order six, which is a contradiction. Suppose that $O(z) = 4$ or 5. By Observation 1, $N_{\overline{\Gamma}_G}(z) = N_{\overline{\Gamma}_G}(z^{-1})$. Since $u \in N_{\overline{\Gamma}_G}(z)$, $u \in N_{\overline{\Gamma}_G}(z^{-1})$. So $z^{-1} \in \{u^2, u^3, z\}$. Since $O(z) = 4$, $z^{-1} \notin \{u^2, z\}$. Hence $z^{-1} = u^3$ and so $z = u$, which is a contradiction.

C.4. Suppose that $O(u) = 5$. Then induced subgraph on $N_{\overline{\Gamma}_G}[u]$ is isomorphic to K_4. Hence $\overline{\Gamma}_G \cong K_4 \cup (n-5)K_1$. By Theorem 4, $G \cong D_{10}$.

The proof of converse is straightforward. □

Acknowledgement

References

[1] A. Abdollahi, A. Mohammadi Hassanabadi, Non-cyclic graph of a group, Communication in Algebra, 35:(2057-2081), 2007.

[2] A. Abdollahi, A. Mohammadi Hassanabadi, Non-cyclic graph associated with a group, J. Algebra Appl., 8:(243-257), 2009.

[3] E. D. Bolker, Bryn Mawr College, Groups whose elements are ordered two or three, Mathematical Association of America, Vol. 79, No. 9, (1007-1010), 1972.

[4] J. F. Fink, M. S. Jacobson, L. F. Kinch and J. Roberts, On graphs having domination number half their order, Period. Math. Hunger., 16(287-293), 1985.

[5] P. Flach, L. Volkmann, Estimations for the domination number of a graph, Discrete Math., (145-151), 1990.

[6] W. Haynes, T. Hedetniemi, J. Slater, Fundementals of domination in graphs, MARCEL DEKKER INC., 1998.

[7] F. Jaeger, C. Payan, Relation du type Nordhaus-Gaddum pour le numbered absorption dun graphe simple, C. R. Acard. Sci. Paris, 274(728-730), 1972.

[8] Xuang L. Ma, Hua Q. Wei, G. Zhong, The cyclic graph of a finite group, Hiudawi Pub. Co. Algebra, Vol. 2013, Article ID. 1072265, 7 pages.

[9] B. H. Neumann, Groups whose elements have bounded orders, J. London Math. Soc., 12:(195-198), 1937.

[10] O. Ore, Theory of Graphs, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. PubL, 38 (Amer. Math. Soc, Providence, RI), 1962.

[11] C. Payan, Sur le nombre d'absorption d'un graphe simple, Cahiers Centre Etudes Rech. Oper. B.3.4, 171, 1975.

[12] H. B. Walikar, B. D. Acharya, and E. Sampathkumar, Recent develop- developments in the theory of domination in graphs, Lecture Notes in Math., Mahta Research Instil, Allahabad, volume 1, 1979.

Imam Khomeini International University, Faculty of Sciences, Department of Mathematics, Qazvin, Iran
E-mail address: vatandoost@sci.ikiu.ac.ir

Imam Khomeini International University, Faculty of Sciences, Department of Mathematics, Qazvin, Iran
E-mail address: y.golkhandypour@edu.ikiu.ac.ir